ED 474 292 CE 084 681

AUTHOR Elliott, Carole; Turnbull, Sharon

TITLE Critical Thinking in HRD--A Panel Led Discussion. Innovative

Session.

REPORT NO No-7

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 5p.; In: Academy of Human Resource Development (AHRD)

Conference Proceedings (Honolulu, Hawaii, February 27-March

3, 2002); see CE 084 635.

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Critical Thinking; Group Discussion; Human Resources; *Labor

Force Development; *Organizational Development; *Personnel Management; Research Needs; Social Science Research; *Theory

Practice Relationship

IDENTIFIERS Management Theories

ABSTRACT

A panel of 11 human resource development (HRD) practitioners (2 session chairs and 9 panelists) participated in an innovation session devoted to critical thinking in HRD. The session objectives were as follows: (1) share knowledge, views, and beliefs about the relevance of critical management theory and research to the HRD community; (2) provide a forum for HRD researchers interested in undertaking research in the critical tradition of sharing ideas for current and future research; (3) establish a network of critical management researchers in the field of HRD; and (4) provide a forum for additional levels of theoretical and methodological engagement within the Academy of Human Resource Development and to encourage an inclusive spirit. The session chairs opened the session by introducing the historical background and context of critical management studies, what critical management theory means to them, and how it has influenced their research in HRD. Next, each of the panelists shared his or her understanding of critical thinking and its relationship to his/her own research in HRD. The session chairs then opened the debate to the floor and encouraged contributions from all perspectives. Finally, each session chair provided a summary, noting the issues that should be carried forward for future debate in the field. (Contains 8 references.) (MN)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C. ElloH

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2002 AHRD Conference

Innovative Session 7

Honolulu, Hawaii

February 27 - March 3, 2002

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Critical Thinking in HRD-A Panel Led Discussion

Carole Elliott
Sharon Turnbull
Lancaster University, UK

The critical management studies (CMS) movement in organisational and management theory has gained momentum over the last 10 years. Through a panel discussion the aim of this session is to present what might be the theoretical and practical implications of a similar movement in HRD research and practice. The session will present challenges to the predominantly performative and learning-outcome focus of the HRD field, and will unpack some of the assumptions behind this orientation.

Keywords: Critical Management Studies, Research and Practice, HRD

What has come to be referred to as the critical management studies movement in organisational and management theory has seen a growth in activity over the last 10 years, but has hitherto not been acknowledged by the mainstream HRD community. It is by no means a unified theoretical movement, indeed its raison d'être might be characterised as a refusal to search for theoretical commensurability. Critical management studies (CMS) therefore attracts scholars from a variety of disciplinary and epistemological positions: post-modern, post-structuralist, labour process and post-Marxist schools, as well as feminist and post colonial researchers and those whose critiques are predominantly influenced by the Frankfurt school of critical theory. Ontological differences exist between researchers working within these traditions, but what they do have in common is a concern to challenge disciplines of management that "are generally understood to be devoted to the (scientific) improvement of managerial practice and the functioning of organizations" (Alvesson & Willmott, 1992: 1). CMS by contrast seeks to question "the wisdom of taking the neutrality or virtue of management as self-evident or unproblematical" (ibid). Those who locate themselves within the CMS field emphasise the necessity of questioning the received wisdom of traditional management knowledge and practices given the influence that managers as a social group exercise.

The increasing number of researchers aligning themselves to the CMS movement is demonstrated by the establishment of its own international conference (these took place in Manchester first in 1999, and again in 2001), in addition to specialist streams within the British Academy of Management, and American Academy of Management annual conferences. The ethos of CMS research now receives wider dispersal through a number of post-graduate and post-experience programmes within the management schools of UK universities. For example, for the past nine years, Lancaster University's Management School has run an Mphil/PhD programme in Critical Management.

We are proposing this innovative session for a number of reasons. We are concerned that the methodological traditions that guide the majority of HRD research do not allow researchers to engage in studies that challenge the predominantly performative and learning-outcome focus of the HRD field. We see evidence for this leading publications and journals as well as in the content of ARHD conference proceedings. We seek to unpick the assumptions behind the performative orientation that dominates much HRD research by exploring with researchers, working in a variety of traditions, whether this tradition is conducive to what we perceive is the greatest tension in HRD, the struggle to reconcile the needs of the individual with the needs of the employing organisation. For example, the emancipatory ideal sometimes touched upon by those interested in individuals' aspirations to find spirituality and meaning at work (e.g. Chalofsky, 2001), challenges the performative view. If we indeed are witnessing a turn towards the sacralisation of work by organisations keen to co-opt the creativity and commitment of individual employees to an even greater degree, how does HRD respond to this?

The traditional methodological frameworks utilised by HRD scholars we argue, cannot adequately assess the impact of these moves upon the individual. We therefore perceive the need to open up HRD theory to a broader range of methodological and theoretical perspectives.

Copyright © 2002 Carole Elliott and Sharon Turnbull



Session description

We propose a debate on the subject of what we will subsequently refer to as critical thinking, within HRD. A number of international panellists (7) chosen for their "critical" orientations will be invited to make a statement (5 minutes each) about what it means to them to think critically in HRD, and what forms of research this might lead to from their individual perspectives. Following this we will open the debate to the floor, where we hope to stimulate a debate from those holding similar or different perspectives. The two Chairs, who will summarise the debate at the end of the session, will manage the debate.

Chairs

Carole Elliott and Sharon Turnbull, Lancaster University, UK

Panellists

Monica Lee – Lancaster University, UK; Linda Perriton – University of York, UK; Sandra Watson – Napier University, Edinburgh, UK; Jim McGoldrick – University of Abertay, Dundee, UK; Jonathan Winterton – ESC Toulouse, France; John Truty - Technical Training Manager, M&M MARS INC, USA.; Dani Truty - Northern Illinois University, USA

Purpose

The purpose of the session is threefold:

- to explore what has hitherto largely been ignored in mainstream HRD research. That is the exploration of research questions through 'critical' research frameworks;
- · to broaden the constituency of AHRD, so as to give space to the growing body of researchers in the critical tradition who are undertaking HRD research;
- · to provide a forum for a healthy and lively debate on new opportunities for HRD research.

Goals

Our goals for the session are:

- to share knowledge, views, and beliefs about the relevance of critical management theory and research to the HRD community;
- to provide a forum for HRD researchers interested in undertaking research in the critical tradition to share ideas for current and future research;
- to set up a network of critical management researchers in the field of HRD;
- to provide a forum for additional levels of theoretical and methodological engagement within the Academy, and to encourage an inclusive spirit.

Content of the Session

The session Chairs will open the session by introducing the historical background and context of critical management studies, what it means to them, and how it has influenced their research in HRD.

This will be followed by personal contributions from each of the panellists about their own understanding of critical thinking and how they see it relating to their research in HRD.

The session Chairs will then open the debate to the floor where contributions from all perspectives will be encouraged. This discussion will be managed by the joint Chairs who will direct questions to the panellists to stimulate a lively debate which raises important issues.

The Chairs will each provide a summary at the conclusion of the debate, noting the issues that have emerged which will be important to consider and carry forward for future debate in the area.

Depending on the number of people attending the session, the session Chairs may decide to divide the audience into a number of smaller groups to ensure that contributions from as many participants as possible are heard, before reconvening for a plenary discussion.



At the end of the session participants will be invited to join a network of critical scholars in HRD within the Academy.

Format and Style

To ensure the format and style is an inclusive one, the room will be arranged to allow the audience to see each other at all times and to engage with the panellists; e.g. in a Ushape formation or equivalent. The style will be constructive and encouraging of diversity, with efforts made by the Chairs to ensure the voices of as many participants as possible are heard. The tone of the session will be set by the diversity of views expressed by the panel, correspondingly we hope that this will encourage contributions from audience participants who hold a wide variety of perspectives that may not necessarily concur with those of the Panel or Chairs.

The debate will be intellectually stimulating, as it will take its cue from panellist's contributions around ontology and epistemology, which we believe will stimulate the ongoing debate about the purpose and values of HRD. It will also recognise and accommodate the diverse views of those scholars from non-conventional and international academic backgrounds, and enable us to discuss the dominant prevailing assumptions in the Academy.

The values held by the Chairs of the session are that the Academy should be inclusive and accommodating of diverse ontological and epistemological perspectives. This means that all research perspectives should be recognised and judged according to their intrinsic value if the HRD community of researchers and practitioners is to grow in its reach and strength. Introducing the 'critical' voice into the Academy is not an attempt to subvert past or current research, but instead to broaden our understanding of the issues that face HRD, and to exchange our diverse views within an intellectually stimulating forum so that we continue to be open to learning from each other.

Structure

Introduction by Chairs: 10 minutes
Panelists' comments: 35 minutes
Debate: 35 minutes
Chairs' summaries: 10 minutes

References

Alvesson, M., & Willmott, H. (eds) (1992). Critical Management Studies, Sage: London Chalofsky, N. (2001). (interviewed by Callahan, J. & Ward, D.) 'A search for meaning: revitalizing the 'human' in human resource development', HRDI, 4:2, pp 235-242

BEST COPY AVAILABLE





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	ON:	
Title: 2002 AHRA Co	inference Process	zings
Author(s): Tobu marsh	all Egan & Sugar	A. Lynham
Academy of Hu	man lesource bevelo	Publication Date: Perment February 2002
II. REPRODUCTION RELEAS		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, and electronic media, and sold through the i reproduction release is granted, one of the foll	Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made av ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cr lowing notices is affixed to the document.	educational community, documents announced in the silable to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, adit is given to the source of each document, and, if WE of the following three options and sign at the bettom
of the page.	osen water are localismic document, blease CASCK O	es of the malowing three opports and sign at the bottom
The sample distair chosen below will be afficient to oil Lovel 1 documents	The striple elictur shown below will be subbed to all Level 2A documents	The spiritple efficient allower below will be afficient to all Level 251 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, MAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sannie	- ample	
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Lavel 2A	28 Level 28
<u>t</u> .	Ţ	ī
\boxtimes		
Check hims for Lined 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in retardische or other ERIC archives mulie (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A minese, permilling reproduction and dissemisation in retoration and in electronic made. for ERIC archival collection subscribes only	Check here for Level 28 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microliche only
	cuments will be proceeded as builded a provided reproduction qual to reprotects is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be p	
as indicated above. Reproduction contractors requires permission from	from the ERIC microtiche or electronic media by a	nission to reproduce and disseminate this document ersons other than ERIC employees and its system it reproduction by fibraries and other service agencies
Sign Some Laure	THE PHYSICAL HOW	e/Position/Title;
11010,7	of Human Resource	3+2.9155 FAX419. 3+2.8385
Developm	ent Ethiladi	Date of a contract
College of	Technology	200
Bowling (Green State University	(over)
Bowling C	Green, OH 43403-0301	

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price:	
	PYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
Address:	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FOR	M:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC Clearinghouse on Adult, Career, and Vocational Education Center on Education and Training for Employment 1900 Kenny Road

Columbus, OH 43210-1090

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: